



## **Empirical CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O flux uncertainty estimation through comparison of measurements from two heights**

**Ibrom, Andreas; Pilegaard, Kim**

*Published in:*  
Geophysical Research Abstracts

*Publication date:*  
2012

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*  
Ibrom, A., & Pilegaard, K. (2012). Empirical CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O flux uncertainty estimation through comparison of measurements from two heights. *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, 14, EGU2012-9768.

---

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



## **Empirical CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O flux uncertainty estimation through comparison of measurements from two heights**

A. Ibrom and K. Pilegaard

Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, DTU, Lyngby/Roskilde, Denmark

Although the eddy covariance technique is the only direct method to measure the turbulent matter flux through the atmospheric boundary-layer, the flux values are only reasonable approximations of the flux from the underlying surface to the atmosphere, if a couple of criteria are fulfilled. Most of these criteria, such as flux constancy over the averaging period, statistical stationarity of the time series, surface homogeneity, and height constancy of the flux, are in real world situations only partly fulfilled. Compromises between the measurement conditions and the theory of flux measurements will therefore introduce a yet unknown error, which is difficult to estimate by means of theory. Here we study whether and how eddy covariance measurements from two heights that are close to each other can be used to estimate at least part of the flux uncertainty and its relation to meteorological and site conditions.

One month of data was collected during December 2011 over the Danish beech forest site Sorø, Zealand, at 34 and 43 m height. The EC systems were virtually identical and were logged with the same data logging system. The highest trees were 30 m tall, the sum of displacement height and roughness length was ca. 20 m depending on wind speed. The fetch of forest area varied between 0.5 km and 1.6 km depending on direction (Pilegaard et al. 2011). It could be shown that both the spectral characteristics and even the absolute concentration measurement of the two infra red gas analysers were in very close agreement with the theory.

We found usually a very good match of the flux estimates from the two systems during day time. During night time differences were largest at stable stratification but as well at very high wind speeds. In addition to addressing the resulting uncertainty for winter CO<sub>2</sub> flux estimates at this site, we analysed periods when very strong differences between the systems occurred. During these periods the comparison indicated a flux divergence possibly as a consequence of the tall but aerodynamically transparent leafless winter canopy and the, depending on wind direction, sometimes close vicinity of forest edges. Finally, we used the findings from this study to derive empirical thresholds of meteorological variables for detecting periods with large flux uncertainty.

From this investigation we conclude that estimating turbulent matter and energy fluxes at two relatively close heights gives very useful empirical information on the uncertainty of flux measurements with the eddy covariance method.

### **Acknowledgement:**

This study has been supported by the Danish ICOS preparation project.

### **References:**

Pilegaard, K., A. Ibrom, M. S. Courtney, P. Hummelshøj and N. O. Jensen (2011). Increasing net CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by a Danish beech forest during the period from 1996 to 2009. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 151: 934–946.